

Received 17-04-2024

Published: 22-12-2024

Addressing Socioeconomic Disparities in Children's Language Development: Insights, Challenges, and Interventions

Abdelkader Makhlouf^{1*}

Nour Bachir University Center, Elbayadh, Algeria

Abstract

This study addresses the pervasive issue of socioeconomic disparities in children's language development, drawing from a wide array of literature. The problem statement highlights the consistent findings indicating a substantial lag in language skills among children from low socioeconomic backgrounds compared to their affluent peers by age three. The main aim of this research is to underscore the necessity of tailored interventions aimed at bridging this gap and fostering language growth among economically disadvantaged children. The significance of the study lies in its emphasis on the crucial role of early parent-child communication quality, the impact of poverty on cognitive development and academic performance, the influence of caregiver input quality, and the differences in access to educational resources based on socioeconomic status. Methodologically, this research incorporates a comprehensive review of relevant literature, synthesizing insights from various studies conducted by researchers such as Hirsh-Pasek (2015), Miller (1995), Leroy (2017), Zimmerman (2017), and Suskind (2015). Findings underscore the importance of parental involvement and targeted interventions in improving language environments and outcomes for children from low socioeconomic backgrounds. Implications of this research include the urgent need for policy interventions and support systems aimed at addressing the enduring consequences of poverty on children's learning and cognitive growth, as well as the promotion of equitable access to educational resources and high-quality caregiver interactions.

Keywords: socioeconomic disparities, language development, tailored interventions, parent-child communication, educational equity

Introduction

Children's language development is critical to their cognitive growth and academic success. However, significant socio-economic disparities influence this trajectory, leading to marked inequalities in language skills. Understanding and addressing these disparities is crucial to improving children's educational outcomes.

This study examines socio-economic disparities in children's language development, based on a literature review. It aims to identify the factors contributing to these inequalities and to propose appropriate interventions to reduce this gap.

Research shows that children from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds lag behind their more advantaged peers in language development as early as age three. Hirsh-Pasek (2015) highlights the importance of the quality of early parent-child communication for

the development of language skills, especially in families with low resources. Raph (1965) observes variations in language acquisition between children from disadvantaged backgrounds and those from the middle class, highlighting the need for specific interventions.

Studies also show that poverty has long-term adverse effects on children's cognitive and academic development (Miller, 1995). Barnett (1998) highlights the importance of pre-school education in mitigating these effects. Morrissey (2018) indicates that neighbourhood poverty intensifies these challenges. The quality of interactions with caregivers also plays a crucial role (Leroy, 2017; Lestari, 2023).

Specific, parent-led interventions have proven effective in improving the language environments of disadvantaged children (Suskind, 2015; Leffel, 2013). DiCataldo (2020) and McIntosh (2007) have demonstrated the effectiveness of interventions based on storytelling and phonological awareness.

Research Problem

This research focuses on the delays observed in the language skills of children from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds compared to their more affluent peers from the age of three. This gap persists and widens over time, with significant academic and social consequences.

Research Objectives

1. Identify factors contributing to socio-economic disparities in children's language development.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of existing interventions.
3. Propose recommendations for adapted interventions and support systems.

Research Questions

1. What are the main factors contributing to socio-economic disparities in children's language development?
2. How effective are existing interventions in addressing these disparities?
3. What recommendations can be made for appropriate interventions?

Methodology

This research uses a literature review to synthesize the teachings of various studies. The analysis focuses on recurring themes, models and gaps to inform proposed interventions.

Research Limitations

This study may be limited by the availability of relevant literature and the variability of interventions across cultural and socio-economic contexts. These limitations underscore the need for further research to address the complexities of this issue.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Early Parent-Child Communication and Language Development Disparities

The quality of nonverbal and verbal interactions at 24 months was found to account for a substantial portion, approximately 27%, of the variance in expressive language abilities one year later (Hirsh-Pasek et al., 2015). This finding underscores the significance of early communication quality in predicting later language success, particularly among low-income children. It suggests that fostering rich and interactive communication environments between caregivers and children could significantly enhance language development outcomes.

In exploring the contribution of early communication quality to language success in low-income children, Hirsh-Pasek and colleagues (2015) highlight the importance of interaction quality over quantity. They argue that simply increasing the number of words spoken to children or promoting sensitive parenting may not be sufficient to bridge the language gap observed between low-income children and their more affluent peers. Instead, attention must be paid to establishing a robust communication foundation within low-income families, which can positively influence children's language abilities in the long term.

Raph (1965) discusses the challenges faced by socially disadvantaged children in language development, emphasizing the limitations they encounter in benefiting from compensatory opportunities, particularly those provided by schools. This highlights the need for interventions and support systems that specifically target the unique linguistic and communicative needs of children from low socioeconomic backgrounds.

Becker (2011) examines social disparities in children's vocabulary development and the role of preschool education in mitigating these disparities. While preschool attendance positively affects the vocabulary development of children from lower educated families, it does not lead to a catching-up process. This suggests that additional interventions beyond preschool education may be necessary to address the persistent gap in vocabulary between children from higher and lower educated families.

Howard et al. (1970) found that children from higher socioeconomic backgrounds demonstrated higher competence in certain oral communication skills compared to their lower status counterparts. This highlights the influence of socioeconomic status on linguistic skill measurement and underscores the importance of considering socioeconomic factors in language development research and interventions.

Locke and Ginsborg (2003) emphasize the prevalence of language delays among socioeconomically disadvantaged children and stress the importance of spoken language exposure in their cognitive and linguistic development. They note that language delays in these children are not solely attributable to poor cognitive abilities, suggesting that targeted interventions focusing on language enrichment could be beneficial.

McNally et al. (2019) identify disparities in children's expressive language skills by socioeconomic status as early as three years of age. Their findings underscore the urgent need for interventions aimed at addressing disparities in maternal education, income, and caregiving practices to improve children's language development outcomes.

2.2 The Impact of Poverty on Children's Cognitive Development and Academic Achievement

The impact of poverty on children's cognitive development is profound and enduring, as highlighted by Miller and Korenman (1995). Their research underscores the cumulative nature of deficits associated with poverty across multiple age intervals. They emphasize that the deficits linked with being impoverished in more than one age interval surpass the sum of experiencing poverty in each of those intervals individually. This suggests that the effects of poverty on cognitive development are not only persistent but also intensify over time, amplifying the challenges faced by children growing up in impoverished environments.

Early childhood education emerges as a pivotal factor in mitigating the adverse effects of poverty on children's cognitive development, as indicated by Barnett (1998). His findings suggest that early childhood education programs produce persistent effects on achievement and academic success, which can significantly benefit children living in poverty. While the effects may not be uniformly observed on IQ, investment in programs such as Head Start and public school preschool education holds promise in enhancing the long-term cognitive development and academic outcomes of children from impoverished backgrounds.

The influence of neighborhood poverty on children's academic skills and behavior is a critical area of investigation, as highlighted by Morrissey and Vinopal (2018). Their study reveals that as tract-level poverty increases, children's academic achievement tends to worsen, with particularly pronounced effects observed among children from poor households. Furthermore, they emphasize that neighborhood disadvantage continues to impact academic achievement well into second grade, underscoring the persistent nature of its effects on children's educational outcomes.

2.3 The Impact of Quality Communication on Early Language Development

In early childhood education, interactions between teachers and children are crucial for fostering language development. Leroy, Bergeron-Morin, Desmottes, and Maillart (2017) underscore the protective role these interactions play, particularly for vulnerable children. Quality teacher-child interactions not only enhance educational quality but also support language and communication development. Similarly, Lestari and Handayani (2023) highlight the significant role parents play in stimulating language development during early childhood. They emphasize the correlation between parent-child interactions and language development in adolescence, stressing the importance of parental involvement in language enrichment.

Research by Zauche et al. (2016) emphasizes the concept of "language nutrition" as crucial for children's language and cognitive development. This involves language-rich interactions with caregivers, which significantly impact developmental outcomes. Furthermore, Hansen and Broekhuizen (2020) explore the quality of language-learning environments in toddler childcare groups and its influence on vocabulary development. They find that responsive staff and facilitating conversations positively affect vocabulary development from age 3 to age 5.

Parent-infant interaction is highlighted by Topping, Dekhinet, and Zeedyk (2013) as a critical factor in children's language development. They stress the importance of parental contingency and pre-literacy activities, which are vital for language acquisition. Fowler (1995) discusses techniques for stimulating language development in at-risk children, emphasizing the role of engaging children in language through play and daily routines. Finally, Seitz and Marcus (1976) discuss the impact of mutual responsiveness in mother-child interactions on language development, emphasizing its significance in enhancing communication.

2.4 Socioeconomic Disparities in Educational Resources: Access and Inequality

Accessing academic resources in college is crucial for students' academic success. The influence of socioeconomic status on this access has been a topic of interest in recent research. Hanselman (2019) explored this topic and found that while basic hypothesis testing didn't reveal significant differences in accessing academic resources between students of different income levels, more advanced testing showed significant disparities for males and undergraduate seniors from higher socioeconomic families. This suggests that students from higher socioeconomic backgrounds may not perceive the need to access academic resources, possibly due to a sense of entitlement or better preparation. This highlights the importance of understanding how socioeconomic status impacts students' utilization of academic resources.

Disparities in access to effective teachers for poor and minority students have been a concern in the educational system. Hanselman (2019) addressed this issue and found that the observed achievement gaps for disadvantaged students were less than 2%. However, the author emphasized the need for a comprehensive understanding to ensure equal opportunities for all students. Additionally, Bischoff and Owens (2019) conducted a longitudinal analysis, revealing growing social resource gaps exacerbated by income segregation. Despite some effectiveness of school finance reforms in reducing funding inequities, persistent social inequalities remain. This suggests a complex interplay between socioeconomic status, access to resources, and educational outcomes.

Educational inequality persists due to socioeconomic factors, leading to disparities in school resources and opportunities. Wong (1994) discussed how children from middle-class families often attend schools with advanced curricula and stable tax bases, while disadvantaged minority children face challenges accessing quality education. Policy interventions aimed at improving school quality for disadvantaged students have the potential to increase social mobility (Rouse & Barrow, 2006), highlighting the importance of addressing socioeconomic disparities in education from an early age (Solano & Weyer, 2017). Despite reform efforts, students from low-income families continue to face challenges such as poor living conditions, limited access to technology, and inadequate nutrition (Benders, 2013).

2.5 The Impact of Input Quality on Children's Language Learning

2.5.1 Analyzing Input Quality along Three Dimensions: Interactive, Linguistic, and Conceptual

In their seminal work, Rowe and Snow (2019) expound upon the dimensions of caregiver input that are crucial for promoting language learning in early childhood. According to their research, the most effective input is not only interactionally supportive but also linguistically adapted and conceptually challenging, tailored to the child's age and level of language proficiency. They underscore the significance of these dimensions, which vary based on factors such as socioeconomic status, spoken language, and cultural background.

Drawing from the insights of Kersten (2021), the importance of L2 input and instructional techniques in foreign language classrooms is highlighted. Kersten emphasizes the need for instructional activities that provide comprehensibility and cognitive stimulation during the L2 acquisition process. Effective teaching practices, such as communicative activities and quality

interactions, are essential for enhancing comprehension and long-term retention in early foreign language learners.

Macdonald's study (1991) delves into the relationship between input types and output modification in English as a second language (ESL) learning contexts. Through an exploration of various input types and conversational modifications, the study reveals the significant impact of interactional features on language learning. Different types of input were found to elicit varying degrees of output modification, indicating the importance of immediate, delayed, residual, and restructured input for ESL learners' spoken performance.

Lev-Ari (2016) sheds light on the influence of individual differences in the social environment on linguistic performance in adult native speakers. By examining factors such as knowledge base, attentional patterns, and processing manner, Lev-Ari underscores the importance of studying these differences to better understand language learning and processing mechanisms. Understanding individual differences in input is crucial for comprehending variations in linguistic performance across diverse populations.

Borovsky and Elman (2006) explore the impact of language input on semantic categories and early word learning. Their computational simulations demonstrate how variations in early language exposure affect subsequent word learning abilities, highlighting the relationship between language input, category knowledge development, and lexical acquisition. The findings underscore the critical role of early language input in predicting lexical proficiency and semantic category development.

2.5.2 Input to Interaction to Instruction: Three Key Shifts in the History of Child Language Research

Snow (2014) traces the historical shifts in child language research, emphasizing the evolving understanding of language input, interaction, and instruction. The focus on language input and adult-child interaction has gained particular relevance in education, especially concerning access to academic language. The quantity and quality of language input significantly impact children's language development, with explicit instruction in academic language features proving essential for success in reading and writing.

Bórquez Morales and Hernández Alvarado (2021) delve into the intricate relationship between input, output, and intake in second language learning. They emphasize the necessity of converting received information into knowledge to produce language effectively. While input plays a crucial role, output is deemed essential for activating knowledge and promoting syntactic analysis in language learning. The authors advocate for a comprehensive understanding of intake, wherein learners process input based on their cognitive apparatus and prior knowledge, further facilitating language acquisition.

Huttenlocher (1998) explores the causal relationship between language input and language growth in children. The study reveals that differences in language input within the normal range significantly influence the development of children's vocabularies and syntactic skills. Variations in language environments at home and school play a pivotal role in shaping language skills at different developmental stages, underscoring the critical importance of early language input for fostering linguistic proficiency.

2.6 Parent-Directed Interventions for Enhancing Language Development in Children from Low Socioeconomic Backgrounds

In a pilot study by Suskind et al. (2015), a parent-directed home-visiting intervention aimed at addressing socioeconomic disparities in children's language environments yielded significant improvements in parent knowledge of language development. Results indicated a notable increase in parent talk diversity and enhanced understanding of language development, both one week and four months post-intervention. This study underscores the potential of interventions targeting parental involvement in improving language outcomes among low-SES children.

Parent-directed approaches have gained traction in enriching the early language environments of children living in poverty (Leffel & Suskind, 2013). These interventions emphasize the critical role of parental involvement in fostering language skills, particularly in low socioeconomic contexts. Projects like the Thirty Million Words Project and Project ASPIRE highlight the effectiveness of such interventions in narrowing the educational achievement gap among low-SES populations.

Dicataldo, Florit, and Roch (2020) demonstrated the effectiveness of a narrative-based intervention in enhancing oral language skills in preschoolers from low-SES backgrounds. The intervention, although relatively brief, proved intensive and resulted in significant improvements in broad oral language skills. Importantly, the study found that children's initial vocabulary levels mediated their responsiveness to the intervention, suggesting tailored approaches based on individual needs.

Mcintosh et al. (2007) investigated the efficacy of language and phonological awareness intervention programs for socially disadvantaged preschoolers. The results showcased the effectiveness of these programs, with post-intervention performance surpassing that of SES controls and matching average SES controls. This highlights the potential of targeted interventions in mitigating language disparities among disadvantaged populations.

Borisova et al. (2017) compared the impact of family-focused interventions to standard pre-primary programs in Ethiopia, finding no significant differences in the gains made by children. This suggests the potential scalability of family-based interventions in bolstering early language and literacy skills in low-income countries.

Larson et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review of language-focused interventions for culturally and linguistically diverse children, emphasizing the importance of culturally and linguistically-responsive approaches. Positive effects were observed when interventions addressed cultural and linguistic factors, underscoring the need for culturally-sensitive interventions.

Miller et al. (2023) explored the mediating role of cognitively stimulating parent-child interactions in enhancing early language and literacy skills in minority children with low incomes. The study found significant indirect effects on language and literacy skills through improvements in parental cognitive stimulation, highlighting the crucial role of parenting interventions in children's school readiness.

Cohen-Mimran, Reznik-Nevet, and Korona-Gaon (2016) evaluated an activity-based language intervention program for kindergarten children, reporting significant improvements in language skills, particularly vocabulary. The study underscores the effectiveness of interactive activities in promoting language development, benefiting children from various socioeconomic backgrounds.

In conclusion, these studies collectively highlight the effectiveness of parent-directed interventions, narrative-based approaches, and activity-based programs in enhancing language outcomes for low-SES children. They underscore the importance of early interventions tailored to individual needs and cultural contexts, emphasizing the pivotal role of parental involvement in fostering language development.

3. Discussion

The comprehensive examination of the literature review on early parent-child communication, the impact of poverty on cognitive development and academic achievement, quality communication on early language development, socioeconomic disparities in educational resources, and the impact of input quality on children's language learning presents significant findings and insights that resonate with current research in the field. Discussing the key findings yields the following points:

1-Early Parent-Child Communication and Language Development Disparities:

The study by Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2015) emphasizes the importance of early communication quality in predicting later language success, especially among low-income children.

Contemporary research, such as that by McNally et al. (2019), also highlights the urgent need for interventions targeting disparities in maternal education and income to improve children's language development outcomes.

2-Impact of Poverty on Cognitive Development and Academic Achievement:

Miller and Korenman's (1995) research underscores the profound and enduring impact of poverty on children's cognitive development, with effects intensifying over time.

Recent studies, like those by Morrissey and Vinopal (2018), continue to emphasize the detrimental effects of neighborhood poverty on academic achievement, particularly among children from poor households.

3-Impact of Quality Communication on Early Language Development:

Leroy et al. (2017) and Lestari and Handayani (2023) highlight the crucial role of teacher-child interactions and parent-child interactions, respectively, in supporting language and communication development.

Contemporary research by Hansen and Broekhuizen (2020) reinforces the significance of language-rich environments and responsive interactions in toddler childcare groups for vocabulary development.

4-Socioeconomic Disparities in Educational Resources: Access and Inequality:

Hanselman (2019) discusses disparities in accessing academic resources among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

Recent studies, such as those by Bischoff and Owens (2019), continue to address persistent social resource gaps exacerbated by income segregation, indicating ongoing challenges in achieving educational equity.

5-Impact of Input Quality on Children's Language Learning:

Rowe and Snow (2019) emphasize the dimensions of caregiver input crucial for promoting language learning in early childhood.

Current research, exemplified by Borovsky and Elman (2006), explores the impact of early language input on subsequent word learning abilities, highlighting the critical role of input quality in predicting lexical proficiency.

6-Parent-Directed Interventions for Enhancing Language Development in Children from Low Socioeconomic Backgrounds:

Studies like those by Suskind et al. (2015) and Larson et al. (2020) demonstrate the effectiveness of parent-directed interventions and culturally-sensitive approaches in enhancing language outcomes for low-SES children.

Contemporary research, such as that by Miller et al. (2023) and Cohen-Mimran et al. (2016), continues to explore the efficacy of various intervention programs in improving language skills, particularly among minority children with low incomes.

To conclude, the findings from the analysis of the review of literature align with and complement current research in highlighting the multifaceted impact of socioeconomic factors on children's language development and academic achievement, as well as the effectiveness of targeted interventions in mitigating disparities and fostering positive outcomes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study set out to address the pressing issue of socioeconomic disparities in children's language development, drawing upon a comprehensive review of literature spanning several decades. The primary aim was to underscore the necessity of tailored interventions aimed at bridging the gap in language skills among economically disadvantaged children. Through a thorough examination of various studies, several key insights have emerged.

Firstly, it's clear that the quality of early parent-child communication plays a pivotal role in shaping later language development, particularly among low-income families. Additionally, the impact of poverty on cognitive development and academic achievement cannot be overstated, with deficits accumulating over time and intensified by neighborhood poverty.

Moreover, the quality of input children receive, whether from caregivers or educational environments, significantly influences their language learning outcomes. Disparities in access to educational resources further exacerbate these challenges, perpetuating inequalities in academic achievement.

However, amidst these challenges lie opportunities for intervention. Parent-directed interventions have shown promise in enhancing language outcomes for children from low socioeconomic backgrounds, emphasizing the importance of parental involvement and culturally-sensitive approaches.

Nevertheless, it's important to acknowledge the limitations of this research, including potential constraints in the availability of relevant literature and the varying applicability of proposed interventions across different cultural contexts.

Moving forward, there are some implications and recommendations for future research and policy interventions. Policymakers and educators must prioritize initiatives aimed at addressing the enduring consequences of poverty on children's learning and cognitive growth. Additionally, further research is needed to explore the effectiveness of intervention programs and to develop tailored approaches that account for the diverse needs of children from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

In conclusion, by understanding the complex relationship between socioeconomic factors, educational resources, and language development, we can work towards fostering more equitable opportunities for all children, regardless of their economic circumstances.

References

BARNETT, W. Steven. « Long-term cognitive and academic effects of early childhood education on children in poverty. » *Preventive medicine* 27 2 (1998): 204-7.

BENDERS, David S.. « Role of Socioeconomics in Education. » *International Journal of Social Work and Human Services Practice* 1 (2013): 38-44.

BECKER, Birgit. « Social disparities in children's vocabulary in early childhood. Does pre-school education help to close the gap? » *The British journal of sociology* 62 1 (2011): 69-88.

BISCHOFF, Kendra and Ann OWENS. « The Segregation of Opportunity: Social and Financial Resources in the Educational Contexts of Lower- and Higher-Income Children, 1990–2014. » *Demography* 56 (2019): 1635 - 1664.

BOROVSKY, Arielle and Jeffrey L. ELMAN. « Language input and semantic categories: a relation between cognition and early word learning. » *Journal of Child Language* 33 (2006): 759 - 790.

BÓRQUEZ MORALES, Lilia Sulema and Martha Guadalupe HERNÁNDEZ ALVARADO. « Input, Output, and Intake. » *Edähi Boletín Científico de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades del ICSHu* (2021): n. pag.

COHEN-MIMRAN, Ravit et al. « An Activity-Based Language Intervention Program for Kindergarten Children: A Retrospective Evaluation. » *Early Childhood Education Journal* 44 (2016): 69-78.

DICATALDO, Raffaele et al. « Fostering Broad Oral Language Skills in Preschoolers from Low SES Background. » *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17 (2020): n. pag.

FOX, Nathan A. et al. « Poverty reduction and the developing brain. » (2012).

FOWLER, William. « Language interaction techniques for stimulating the development of at risk children in infant and preschool day care. » *Early Child Development and Care* 111 (1995): 35-48.

GAATONE, David. « Le prédicat : pour quoi faire ? » [en ligne], Lidil, n° 37, « Syntaxe et sémantique des prédicats », p. 45-60. Available at <http://lidil.revues.org/index2688.html> [consulted on: 07/09/2009].

HANSELMAN, Paul. « Access to Effective Teachers and Economic and Racial Disparities in Opportunities to Learn. » *The Sociological Quarterly* 60 (2019): 498 - 534.

HANSELMAN, Paul. « Access to Effective Teachers and Economic and Racial Disparities in Opportunities to Learn. » *The Sociological Quarterly* 60 (2019): 498 - 534.

HANSEN, Joakim E. and Martine L. BROEKHUIZEN. « Quality of the Language-Learning Environment and Vocabulary Development in Early Childhood. » *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research* 65 (2020): 302 - 317.

HIRSH-PASEK, Kathy et al. « The Contribution of Early Communication Quality to Low-Income Children's Language Success. » *Psychological Science* 26 (2015): 1071 - 1083.

HOWARD, Marshall J. et al. « Language Abilities of Children with Differing Socioeconomic Backgrounds. » *Journal of Learning Disabilities* 3 (1970): 328 - 335.

HUTTENLOCHER, Janellen. « Language input and language growth. » *Preventive medicine* 27 2 (1998): 195-9 .

KERSTEN, Kristin. « L2 input and characteristics of instructional techniques in early foreign language classrooms – Underlying theory and pedagogical practice. » (2021).

LARSON, Anne L. et al. « A systematic review of language-focused interventions for young children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. » *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 50 (2020): 157-178.

LERAY, Sandrine et al. « Les interactions enseignant/enfants comme soutien au développement langagier des enfants en classe maternelle. » (2017).

LEFFEL, Kristin R. and Dana SUSKIND. « Parent-Directed Approaches to Enrich the Early Language Environments of Children Living in Poverty. » *Seminars in Speech and Language* 34 (2013): 267 - 278.

LEV-ARI, Shiri. « Studying individual differences in the social environment to better understand language learning and processing. » *Linguistics Vanguard* 2 (2016): n. pag.

LOCKE, Ann and Jane GINSBORG. « Spoken language in the early years: The cognitive and linguistic development of three- to five-year-old children from socio-economically deprived backgrounds. » *Educational and Child Psychology* (2003): n. pag.

MACDONALD, Doris. « An Investigation of the Relationship Between Input Type and Output Modification in English as a Second Language. » (1991).

MCINTOSH, Beth et al. « Enhancing the phonological awareness and language skills of socially disadvantaged preschoolers: An interdisciplinary programme. » *Child Language Teaching and Therapy* 23 (2007): 267 - 286.

MCLOYD, Vonnie. « Socioeconomic disadvantage and child development. » *The American psychologist* 53 2 (1998): 185-204 .

MCNALLY, Sinead et al. « Decomposing the social gradient in children's vocabulary skills at 3 years of age: A mediation analysis using data from a large representative cohort study. » *Infant behavior & development* 57 (2019): 101326 .

MILLER, Elizabeth B et al. « Enhancing early language and literacy skills for racial/ethnic minority children with low incomes through a randomized clinical trial: The mediating role of cognitively stimulating parent-child interactions. » *Child development* (2023): n. pag.

MILLER, Jane E. and Sanders D. KORENMAN. « Poverty dynamics and cognitive development among young children. » (1995).

MORRISSEY, Taryn W. and Katie VINOPAL. « Neighborhood Poverty and Children's Academic Skills and Behavior in Early Elementary School. » *Political Economy - Development: Public Service Delivery eJournal* (2018): n. pag.

RAPHA, Jane Beasley. « Language Development in Socially Disadvantaged Children. » *Review of Educational Research* 35 (1965): 389.

ROUSE, Cecilia Elena and Lisa BARROW. « U.S. Elementary and Secondary Schools: Equalizing Opportunity or Replicating the Status Quo? » *The Future of Children* 16 (2006): 123 - 99.

ROW, Meredith L. and Catherine E. SNOW. « Analyzing input quality along three dimensions: interactive, linguistic, and conceptual. » *Journal of Child Language* 47 (2019): 5 - 21.

SEITZ, Sue and Spencer D. MARCUS. « Mother-Child Interactions: A Foundation for Language Development. » *Exceptional Children* 42 (1976): 445 - 449.

SOLANO, Isaac S and Matt WEYER. « Closing the Opportunity Gap in Early Childhood Education. » *NCSL legisbrief* 25 25 (2017): 1-2 .

SUSKIND, Dana et al. « A parent-directed language intervention for children of low socioeconomic status: a randomized controlled pilot study*. » *Journal of Child Language* 43 (2015): 366 - 406.

TOPPING, Keith James et al. « Parent–infant interaction and children’s language development. » *Educational Psychology* 33 (2013): 391 - 426.

VINOPAL, Katie and Taryn W. MORRISSEY. « Neighborhood disadvantage and children’s cognitive skill trajectories. » *Children and Youth Services Review* 116 (2020): 105231 - 105231.

WONG, Kenneth K. Y.. « Chapter 6: Governance Structure, Resource Allocation, and Equity Policy. » *Review of Research in Education* 20 (1994): 257 - 289.

WONG, Kenneth K. Y.. « Governance Structure, Resource Allocation, and Equity Policy. » *Review of Research in Education* 20 (1994): 257.

Zhang, Liwei and Wen-Jui HAN. « Uncovering Multidimensional Poverty Experiences in Shaping Children's Socioemotional Trajectories during the First 6 Years of Schooling. » *Family process* (2020): n. pag.